arXiv:1702.05231v1 [gr-qc] 17 Feb 2017

CLASSICAL DEFOCUSSING OF GEODESICS FROM HIGHER DIMENSIONS

R. Parthasarathy *
The Chennai Mathematical Institute,
H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri, Chennai 603103, India

K.S. Viswanathan †
Department of Physics
Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, V5A 1S6

Andrew DeBenedictis ‡
Department of Physics,
and
The Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences,
Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, V5A 1S6

ABSTRACT

A five-dimensional gravity theory, motivated by the brane-world picture, with Kaluza scalar $g_{55}(r); r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$, is considered near the singularity (small scales where gravity is strong) and is shown to give rise to a positive contribution to the Raychaudhuri equation. This inhibits the focusing of geodesics and contributes to non - focusing of the geodesics. It is also shown that the results extend to time dependent cases such as those relevant for black hole interiors and cosmology.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Cd 04.50.-h
Key words: geodesics, defocussing, Kaluza-Klein

1 Introduction

One of the basic questions in cosmology is whether the universe had a beginning or has existed eternally. The Standard Cosmological Model based on Einstein's theory of gravitation, implies that the universe began with a big bang singularity. Within the framework of Einstein's theory, the above singularity cannot be avoided without imposing exotic matter of some sort. This can be understood, for instance, by the Raychaudhuri equation [1] which in the absence of torsion, exhibits focusing of geodesics converging to the big bang singularity [2]. In order to avoid this singularity, one has to go beyond Einstein's theory of gravitation. This has been

*sarathy@cmi.ac.in
†kviswana@sfu.ca
‡adebened@sfu.ca

investigated in the brane-world scenario and the recent studies indicate possible avoidance of the big bang singularity [3] - [5]. Studies in string theory motivated non - singular cosmologies [6], [7] can avoid the big bang singularity. It has also been shown that in effective loop quantum gravity theories big-bang singularities are avoided [8]. Ellis and Maartens [9], and Ellis, Murugan and Tsagas [10] proposed the emergent scenario in which the universe stays in a static past eternally and then evolves to a subsequent inflationary era, suggesting that the universe originates from Einstein static state rather than a big bang singularity. An emergent scenario has been made possible in the modified theories of gravity such as $f(R)$ gravity, loop quantum gravity [11] and in Einstein - Cartan theory [12]. These studies motivate the consideration of higher dimensional gravity as a possible candidate for avoiding the singularity. Further motivation is provided by the trace anomaly of Conformal Field Theory dual to a 5 - dimensional Schwarzschild AdS geometry [13] in which H^4 (H being the Hubble parameter) terms are present in the equation for $\dot{H}$ and which leads to an infinite age of the universe, avoiding the singularity. Similar resolution of the singularity comes from the corrections to Raychaudhuri equation in the brane world scenario [14], in the approach using the 'generalized uncertainty principle' of quantum gravity [15] and in the quantum corrected Friedmann equations [16], [17].

Another arena that tends to suffer from spacetime singularities is the realm of black holes. It is known that the formation of a trapped surface by an event horizon in general relativity will inevitably lead to a singularity unless the matter field violates energy conditions [2]. Progress here has also been made in effective loop quantum gravity models [18] and other various modified theories of gravity.

While a theory of quantum gravity is far from being realized, a quantum corrected Raychaudhuri equation has been proposed by Das [19] and this was the basis to obtain the corrected Friedmann equation for $\dot{H}$ by Ali and Das [20] which avoids the big bang singularity, predicting infinite age for the universe. The said corrections to the Raychaudhuri equation cause defocussing of the geodesics thereby avoiding the singularity.

From the above studies, it is clear that in order to avoid the singularity, one needs to modify gravity such that defocussing of the geodesics occurs. One way to modify Einstein's theory of gravity is to consider five dimensional gravity (without electromagnetic fields) near the singularity (small scales where gravity is strong), a minimum modification.

A direct way to understand the possible avoidance of big bang singularity is to consider the Raychaudhuri equation. This equation in 4 - dimensional gravity is $\frac{d\Theta}{ds} = -\frac{\Theta^2}{3} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu + (\dot{u}^\mu)_{;\mu}$, where $\Theta = u^\mu_{;\mu}$ characterizing the volume of the collection of particles with 4-velocity u^μ as they fall under gravity. That is, Θ provides a description of the expansion or contraction of a material body containing streamlines. The quantity $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric tensor representing the shear, $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor representing the vorticity and the last term $(\dot{u}^\mu)_{;\mu}$ vanishes when the particles travel on their geodesics in 4-d theory. The vorticity causes expansion while the shear contraction. In the absence of vorticity or exotic matter, the geodesics contract or focus causing the universe to have a beginning a finite time ago, creating the big bang singularity [2]. One could obtain solutions with shear and no vorticity; but not with vorticity and no shear [21] in Einstein's theory. The singularity theorems of Penrose and Hawking use this feature to state that there is an inevitable spacetime singularity [2], [21]. In the absence of vorticity and shear, if the last term $(\dot{u}^\mu)_{;\mu}$ exists and is positive, then defocussing of the geodesics occurs thereby softening, or potentially avoiding

the singularity.

Thus, attempts to avoid the singularity require either use of complicated field theoretic models of matter or modified gravity. The quantum corrections to the Raychaudhuri equation in [14] [15], [19] achieve this, preventing focusing of geodesics. This feature in cosmological considerations led to the avoiding of the big bang singularity with the universe without a beginning.

It is worthwhile to examine whether a non-focusing term of the geodesics could emerge *classically* in higher dimensional gravity with minimum modifications.

The aim of this paper is to show a defocussing term of geodesics arises by considering five-dimensional Kaluza theory with fifth dimension at small scales (near the singularity) where gravity is expected to be strong - a minimal modification of the 4-d gravity.

In Section 2, we show this by considering static and spherically symmetric 5-d space time. The '55' part of the 5-d metric, a 4-d scalar, is shown to be responsible for the non-focusing feature. We also extend the analysis to time-dependent domains of the type applicable to some black hole interiors.

2 Effect of Kaluza scalar on Raychaudhuri equation

We consider gravity in 5 - d spacetime as in Kaluza-Klein theory with a non-compact or compact fifth dimension. (If the fifth dimension is compact, one will be dealing with specific discrete modes.) Non-compact Kaluza-Klein theory has been considered by Wesson [22] and in [23]-[26] as Space-Time-Matter theory. The metric chosen corresponds to (without electromagnetism)

$$(ds)^2 \;\; = \;\; g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu dx^\nu - g_{55}(r)\, (dx^5)^2, \tag{1}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the 4-d metric and $g_{55} = g_{55}(r); r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ the Kaluza scalar. The line element (1) corresponds to a 5-d spacetime which can be viewed as 4-d gravity with Kaluza scalar $g_{55}(r)$. The 5-d spacetime in which the usual 4-d spacetime is embedded will correspond to a solution of the 5-d vacuum Einstein equations $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0;\ A, B = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$, where $\tilde{R}_{AB}$ is the Ricci tensor in the full 5-d spacetime. The basis of this embedding is Campbell's theorem [27]-[29] which ensures an embedding of 4-d general relativity with matter sources (here due to $g_{55}(r)$) in a 5-d theory whose field equations are empty, that is, $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0$. That is, the 4-d Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ are embedded perfectly in the Ricci flat 5-d equation $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0$. Here $T_{\mu\nu}$ is for the scalar $g_{55}(r)$. The line element (1) describing 5-d vacuum thus corresponds to 4-d general relativity with scalar field as source.

A remarkable consequence of this metric in (1), is that 4 - d geodesic equation has an acceleration term from $g_{55}(r)$, namely

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}\, \frac{dx^\nu}{ds}\, \frac{dx^\lambda}{ds} \;\; = \;\; \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{a^2}{g_{55}^2} g^{\mu\lambda}\, (\partial_\lambda g_{55})\,, \tag{2}$$

where a is a constant along the geodesic [30], a consequence of the independence of the metric components in (1) on the fifth coordinate x^5. This can be seen as: The geodesic equation in 5-dimensional theory is

$$\frac{d^2z^A}{ds^2} + \triangle^A_{BC}\, \frac{dz^B}{ds}\, \frac{dz^C}{ds} \;\; = \;\; 0,$$

where $\triangle$ is the 5-dimensional connection coefficients. The above geodesic equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(g_{AB}\frac{dz^B}{ds}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_A g_{CD})\,\frac{dz^C}{ds}\,\frac{dz^D}{ds} \;=\; 0.$$

The $A = 5$ part of this equation gives

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(g_{5B}\frac{dz^B}{ds}\right) \;=\; 0,$$

since the 5-dimensional metric are chosen to be independent of x^5 the fifth coordinate. So we have

$$g_{5B}\frac{dz^B}{ds} \;=\; a,$$

where a is a constant along the geodesic. In our case as $g_{5\mu} = 0$ as we are not considering electromagnetic fields and so $a = g_{55}\frac{dx^5}{ds}$.

To see how the scheme works in the case of a non-compact fifth dimension let us consider the 5-d action

$$S_5 = \frac{1}{16\pi \mathsf{G}_5}\int d^4x\, dx^5\, \sqrt{G}\,\hat{R}\,, \tag{3}$$

where G_5 is the 5-d gravitational constant, G is 5-d metric determinant and $\hat{R}$ is the 5-d Ricci scalar. Since the metric and $\hat{R}$ do not depend on the fifth coordinate, x^5, the integral over dx^5 separates giving $1/(\mathsf{G}_4) = 1/(\mathsf{G}_5)\int dx^5$, defining the 4-d Gravitational constant. Writing $dx^5 = (dx^5)/(ds)ds$, we see that the motion in the fifth direction is swamped into G_4. In the resulting expressions we only use G_4 and so the motion in the fifth coordinate becomes unobservable. Of course, one may also pick that the fifth dimension is compact, in which case as long as the pitch (in the 4-d hypersurface) due to the motion in the fifth dimension is small, the motion in the fifth dimension will be unobservable. In fact this is a mechanism for "mass" generation as this effect endows the particle with a perceived inertia in the 4-d subspace.

The occurrence of the acceleration term (right side in (2)) in writing down the 4-d geodesic equation from 5-d Kaluza theory, has been realized earlier Schmutzer [31], Kovacs [32], Gegenberg and Kunstatter [33], Mashhoon, Liu and Wesson [34], Wesson, Mashhoon, Liu and Sajko [35] and in the brane world scenario by Youm [36]. This author studied the effect of this acceleration to restore causality in brane world [37] and to cause 'expansion' of the geodesics in the Raychaudhuri equation [38].

The Raychaudhuri equation in 4-d spacetime, from 5-d theory, describing the evolution of a collection of particles following their geodesics (2), is given by

$$\dot{\Theta} \;=\; -\frac{\Theta^2}{4} - 2\sigma^2 + 2\omega^2 - \frac{R^{(4)}}{2} + (\dot{u}^\mu)_{;\mu}\,, \tag{4}$$

where $u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}$, $2\sigma^2 = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, $2\omega^2 = \omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}$, $R^{(4)}$ is the 4-dimensional Ricci scalar. The subscript ; stands for covariant derivative. For simplicity the cosmological constant Λ is set to zero. We have considered the 4 - d Raychaudhuri equation from the 5 - d theory (1), in terms of 4 - d quantities. This has the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in front of Θ^2 instead of $\frac{1}{3}$. This numeric has very little

effect in the discussion. In (4), the last term involves $\dot{u}^\mu = u^\mu_{;\nu} u^\nu$, the possible acceleration (orthogonal to u^μ) of the collection of particles. In view of (2), this term is exists now. This term is: $\dot{u}^\mu = u^\mu_{;\nu} u^\nu = \left(\frac{du^\mu}{dx^\nu} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu} u^\lambda\right) u^\nu = \frac{du^\mu}{ds} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu} u^\lambda u^\nu = \frac{d^2x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu} \frac{dx^\lambda}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} = \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{1}{g_{55}^2} g^{\mu\rho} (\partial_\rho g_{55}) = -\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}})$ using (2). So, the last term in (4) becomes

$$(\dot{u}^\mu)_{;\mu} = -\frac{a^2}{2}\, g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}})\,, \tag{5}$$

where D_μ stands for the covariant derivative, namely $D_\mu(\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}}) = \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}} - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho} (\partial_\sigma \frac{1}{g_{55}})$. Thus (4) is

$$\dot{\Theta} = -\frac{\Theta^2}{4} - 2\sigma^2 + 2\omega^2 - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}(\rho c^2 + 3p) - \frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}}). \tag{6}$$

This equation was obtained in [38] and the presence of the last term was shown to induce expansion for representative solutions of $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0$.

When compared with the quantum corrected Raychaudhuri equation of Das [19], we see that the role of the quantum correction $\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} h^{ab} R_{;a;b}$ of [19] (the other correction term in [19] has been set to zero in [19]) is played by $-\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}})$, which is *classical* in origin.

The acceleration term $-\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}})$ can possibly induce expansion if this term turns out to be positive. We examine this for a 'static spherically symmetric' ansatz for the 5 - d metric in (1) as

$$(ds)^2 = e^\mu c^2 (dt)^2 - e^\nu (dr)^2 - r^2\{(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2\theta (d\phi)^2\} - \psi(r)(dx^5)^2, \tag{7}$$

where μ, ν are functions of $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ only and $\psi(r) = g_{55}(r)$. $\mu(r), \nu(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ are unknown functions of r to be determined by the 5 - d Einstein vacuum (Ricci flat) equations, $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0$, $A, B = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$. The choice of static ansatz (7) is partially motivated by [9] (proposing an emergent scenario in which the universe stays in a static past eternally - universe originating from Einstein static state).

For the metric in (7), $\tilde{R}_{tt}, \tilde{R}_{rr}, \tilde{R}_{\theta\theta}, \tilde{R}_{\phi\phi}, \tilde{R}_{55}$ have been evaluated and the details are given in [38]. Now, we show that the additional term in (5) due to acceleration from $g_{55}(r)$ is always positive, that is

The additional (acceleration) term in (5), namely $-\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}})$, is always positive for a spherically symmetric general solution for $\tilde{R}_{AB} = 0$.

Expanding the covariant derivativeD_μ and setting $g_{55}(r) = -\psi(r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}}) &= -\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}}) + \frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho}\, \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\rho} (\partial_\lambda \frac{1}{g_{55}}), \\ &= -\frac{a^2}{\psi^3} g^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \psi\, \partial_\rho \psi + \frac{a^2}{2\psi^2} g^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \psi - \frac{a^2}{2\psi^2} g^{\mu\rho} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\rho} (\partial_\lambda \psi)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $\psi = \psi(r)$, we have the above expression as

$$-\frac{a^2}{2} g^{\mu\rho} D_\mu (\partial_\rho \frac{1}{g_{55}}) = -\frac{a^2}{\psi^3} g^{rr} (\psi')^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\psi^2} g^{rr} \psi'' - \frac{a^2}{2\psi^2} g^{\mu\rho} \Gamma^r_{\mu\rho} \psi'\,, \tag{9}$$

where $\psi' = \frac{d\psi}{dr}$; $\psi'' = \frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2}$. For the line element (metric) in (7), $g^{rr} = -e^{-\nu}$ and the connection coefficients Γ for (7) are evaluated (in [38]). Then we have, using them

$$g^{\mu\rho}\Gamma^r_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\nu}\mu' - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\nu}\nu' + \frac{2}{r}e^{-\nu}\,, \tag{10}$$

where $\mu' = \frac{d\mu}{dr}$; $\nu' = \frac{d\nu}{dr}$. Substituting (10) in (9), we find

$$-\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\mu\rho}D_\mu(\partial_\rho\frac{1}{g_{55}}) = \frac{a^2}{\psi^2}e^{-\nu}\{\frac{(\psi')^2}{\psi} - \frac{\psi''}{2} - \frac{\mu'\psi'}{4} + \frac{\nu'\psi'}{4} - \frac{\psi'}{r}\}\,. \tag{11}$$

The equation $\tilde{R}_{55} = 0$ in [38] gives

$$-\frac{\psi''}{2} - \frac{\mu'\psi'}{4} + \frac{\nu'\psi'}{4} - \frac{\psi'}{r} = -\frac{(\psi')^2}{4\psi},$$

and substituting this in $\{....\}$ of (11), we obtain

$$-\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\mu\rho}D_\mu(\partial_\rho\frac{1}{g_{55}}) = \frac{3a^2}{4}\,e^{-\nu}\,\frac{(\psi')^2}{\psi^3}\,. \tag{12}$$

Since $\psi(r) > 0$ (so as to preserve the sign convention for the metric in (7)), the above result proves that

$$-\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\mu\rho}D_\mu(\partial_\rho\frac{1}{g_{55}}) > 0\,, \tag{13}$$

for a general spherically symmetric solution.

Thus, *the additional term in the Raychaudhuri equation is always positive for a general spherically symmetric solution and therefore induces expansion, avoiding big bang singularity as the geodesics tend to defocus*.

With the presence of the last term in (5) whose contribution has been shown to be positive in general, the focusing of the geodesics is inhibited and could cause non-focusing of geodesics. Before proceeding to cosmological implications, the 'geodesic deviation equation' gets modified by the acceleration term in (2) as

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^2(\delta x^\mu)}{\mathcal{D}s^2} = -R^\mu_{\lambda\nu\rho}\,\frac{dx^\lambda}{ds}\,\delta x^\nu\,\frac{dx^\rho}{ds} + f^\mu_{;\nu}\,\delta x^\nu\,, \tag{14}$$

where $f^\mu_{;\nu} = -\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\mu\lambda}D_\nu(\partial_\lambda\frac{1}{g_{55}})$, showing the deviation $\delta x^\mu \neq 0$. The observed deviation acceleration would remain finite. When $\delta x^\mu \neq 0$, the geodesics are inhibited to converge, supporting the defocussing feature from the considerations of Raychaudhuri equation.

We can similarly consider the analogous spherically symmetric time-dependent domain (also sometimes known as the T-domain) by extending the study to the following line element:

$$ds^2 = e^{\mu(t)}\,(dt)^2 - e^{\nu(t)}\,(dy)^2 - t^2\left\{(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2\theta\,(d\phi)^2\right\} - \psi(t)\,(dx^5)^2\,. \tag{15}$$

The above line element is relevant, for example, inside the event horizon of a spherically symmetric black hole where the timelike and radial spacelike coordinates change character.

The calculations here are very similar to the ones presented for the metric(7) so we do not reproduce the calculations in detail. In brief, the four-dimensional geodesic equation in this scenario yields a similar expression as before

$$\frac{d^2x^\alpha}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\frac{dx^\beta}{ds}\frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\beta g_{55}\right) g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{a^2}{(g_{55})^2}\,, \tag{16}$$

where the constant a is defined as in the previous section. Note though that different metric functions from before will appear from the index sum due to the time dependence of the metric. Also, an analogous result to the expression just before equation (5) is also calculated:

$$u^\alpha_{;\beta}u^\beta = -\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\alpha\rho}\left(\partial_\rho\frac{1}{g_{55}}\right)\,. \tag{17}$$

This leads to the investigation of the positivity (following a proof similar to the previous one) of the following:

$$-\frac{a^2}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}D_\beta\left(\partial_\rho\frac{1}{g_{55}}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\frac{a^2\dot{\psi}^2}{\psi^3}e^{-\mu(t)}\,, \tag{18}$$

which is indeed positive since $\psi > 0$ due to the Lorentzian structure demanded of the metric. (Here the over-dot represents ∂_t.)

Concluding remarks

We have shown that four dimensional geodesic defocussing may be achieved by considering five dimensional spacetime with the fifth dimension inducing a Kaluza scalar. Qualitatively this is similar to the defocussing which occurs when considering some effective quantum corrected models. This shows that such a defocussing effect can also have a purely classical origin which is completely due to five dimensional general relativity.

Acknowledgements

R. Parthasarathy is grateful for productive discussions with G. Rajasekaran, R. Rajaraman, A.P. Balachandran and Govind Krishnaswamy.

References

[1] A.K. Raychaudhuri, Phys.Rev. **98** (1955) 1123.

[2] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.

[3] G. Dvali, G. Gabadaze and M. Porrati, Phys.Lett. **B484** (2000) 112.

[4] C. Deffayet, Phys.Lett. **B502** (2001) 199.

[5] P. Brax and C. van de Bruck, Class.Quant.Gravity. **20** (2003) R201.

[6] C. Kounnas, H. Partouche and N. Toumbas, *S-brane to thermal non singular string cosmology*, hep-th/1111.5816.

[7] C. Kounnas, H. Partouche and N. Toumbas,*Thermal Duality and Non singular Cosmology in d-dimensional superstrings*, hep-th/1106.0946.

[8] A. Guillermo and M Marugán, *A Brief Introduction to Loop Quantum Cosmology*, AIP Conf.Proc. **1130** (2009) 89.

[9] G.F.R. Ellis and R. Maartens, Class.Quant.Gravity. **21** (2004) 223.

[10] G.F.R. Ellis, J. Murugan and C.G. Tsagas, Class.Quant.Gravity. **21** (2004) 233.

[11] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh, Phys.Rev. **D74** (2006) 084003.

[12] Q. Huang, P. Wu and H. Yu, *Emergent Scenario in the Einstein - Cartan theory*, gr-qc/1504.05284.

[13] P.S. Apostopoulos, G. Siopsis and N. Tetradis, Phys.Rev.Lett. **102** (2009) 151301.

[14] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, Liv.Rev.Relativity. **13** (2010) 5.

[15] J.E. Lidsey, Phys.Rev. **D88** (2013) 103519.

[16] R.G. Cai, L-M. Cao and Y-P. Hu, *Corrected Entropy-Area Relation and Modified Friedmann Equations*, hep-th/0807.1232.

[17] C.A.S. Silva, *Quantum Corrected Friedmann equations from loop quantum black-hole's entropy-area relation*, gr-qc/1503.00559.

[18] R. Gambini, J. Olmedo and J. Pullin, Class.Quant.Grav. **31** (2014) 095009.

[19] S. Das, Phys.Rev. **D89** (2014) 084068.

[20] A.F. Ali and S. Das, Phys.Lett. **B741** (2015) 276.

[21] J.V. Narlikar, *An Introduction to Relativity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., 2010.

[22] P.S. Wesson, *Space-Time-Matter: Modern Higher dimensional cosmology*, World Scientific, 2007.

[23] P.S. Wesson, Space Science Reviews. **59** (1992) 365.

[24] J. Ponce de Leon, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A16** (2001) 1405.

[25] S.S. Seahra and P.S. Wesson, Class.Quant.Grav. **19** (2002) 1139.

[26] M. Bellin, Nucl.Phys. **B660** (2003) 389.

[27] J.E. Campbell, *A Course of Differential Geometry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford., 1926.

[28] L. Magaard, Ph.D thesis, Kiel, 1963.

[29] C. Romero, R. Tavakol and R. Zalaletdinov, Gen.Rel.Grav. **28** (1996) 365.

[30] H.T. Flint, *The Quantum Equation and the Theory of Fields*, Wiley, NY., 1966.

[31] E. Schmutzer, Z.Phys. **149** (1957) 329; **154** (1959) 312.

[32] D. Kovacs, Gen.Rel.Gravitation, **16** (1984) 645.

[33] J. Gegenberg and G. Kunstatter, Phys.Lett. **A106** (1984) 410.

[34] B. Mashhoon, H. Liu and P.J.S. Wessen, Phys.Lett. **B331** (1994) 305.

[35] P.J.S. Wessen, B. Mashhoon, H. Liu and W.N. Sajko, Phys.Lett. **B456** (1999) 34.

[36] D. Youm, Phys.Rev. **D62** (2000) 084002.

[37] R. Parthasarathy, *Causality of the Brane Universe - OPERA and ICARUS*, hep-ph/1203.6192.

[38] R. Parthasarathy, *Influence of Kaluza scalar on the Raychaudhuri Equation*, hep-th/1311.0103.